

Appendix 4 -Summary of feedback on designation of new consents streets

<u>Name of respondent</u>	<u>Summary of responses</u>	<u>Response</u>
George Coulouris	<p>I wish to object to the poor positioning of the proposed Trader's Pitch 21 on Parkers Piece. The photo at this location: http://www.cyclestreets.net/location/33673/ illustrates the point.</p> <p>The solution would be to move the proposed pitch to the right some metres to ensure that it does not create a point of conflict between cyclists and pedestrians.</p> <p>I work in Cambridge and regularly cycle across Parkers Piece in commuting and other trips.</p>	The location of Pitch 21 will be amended to take account of these comments.
Colin Bell	<p>I am writing concerning the intention to allow street trading at the western corner of Parker's Piece (Pitch 21 on your consultation document of 15 Feb).</p> <p>This is a busy thoroughfare and junction for pedestrians and cyclists, and certain parts of the proposed pitch could cause a dangerous obstruction. See http://www.cyclestreets.net/location/33673/</p> <p>For an example - the person waiting at the rear of the stand as we see it is standing in the line a cyclist would normally take onto the Piece. It would be best to restrict traders to the pavement on Regent Street itself (where there's often an ice cream van) and out of this area. The only safe place would be further along the wall away from Regent Street, but even this would cause access problems for users of the path along the back of the University Arms Hotel.</p>	As above
Mr Dan Hulme	<p>I am writing as a resident of Cambridge in regards to the proposal to redesignate "pitch 21" on plan ST1 as a consent street. I use this junction to access Regent Street from Parker's Piece several times a month. Even when unobstructed, it is a dangerous pinch point. Because of the bollards, and the queue waiting for the traffic lights, cyclists have very little freedom to avoid pedestrians here. Many users of this junction are summer students or tourists who do not know they need to take extra care here. When a stall is located here, it creates a blind corner, forcing pedestrians to walk into the path of cyclists, and forcing cyclists to swerve near the bollards.</p>	As above

Appendix 4 -Summary of feedback on designation of new consents streets

	<p>I think it's clear that a pitch sited here is a hazard to public safety, and so I propose that the pitch be restricted to be against the wall of the University Arms, mid-way between the two sets of bollards. Alternatively, it could be moved to the top of Regent Terrace, on the road side of the bollards, but only if it is small enough to allow delivery vehicles to turn there safely.</p> <p>I'd also like you to consider designating Abbey Street as a prohibited street. Because it is not a through route for motor vehicles, there is one trader who persistently blocks more than half the width of the street (at the end nearest Newmarket Road) with a kebab van. As well as the obstruction to cycle traffic caused when customers are standing in the road, the stall itself makes this junction blind, and makes it impossible to operate the traffic lights here without dismounting. As there are many safer places nearby to site a stall, I hope that in this location you'll put road users' safety above traders' desire to profit.</p>	<p>Abbey Road is outside of the street trading consent zone so the Council is currently not able to designate this street as a prohibited street.</p>
Tim Burford	<p>I see you are consulting on the trading pitch at the northwest corner of Parker's Piece - Pitch 21, I think. At present this impedes cyclist and pedestrian traffic, and should be moved about 5 metres to the east.</p>	<p>As above</p>
Tom Corder	<p>I understand you are currently reconsidering a sales pitch near the entrance from St Andrews Street to Parkers Piece. I have previously wondered whether it was legally positioned being quite close to this point and therefore partially obstructing the route and view for foot and cycle traffic. Now that I understand that it has indeed been "properly" positioned according to its entitlement, I would suggest that you move the plot some distance further in from the entrance, maybe 5m-10m or so.</p>	<p>As above</p>
Peter Brunning	<p>It looks as if the proposal for pitch 21 might block the entrance for pedestrians and cycles to Parker's Piece. It seem important to me for safety, particularly with the pedestrian crossing discharging there, that any street trading is a bit further on to the green.</p>	<p>As above</p>
Martin Lucas-Smith Cambridge Cycling Campaign	<p>See Appendix A of this document.</p>	<p>As above</p>
Paul Tonks	<p>Please register my objection to the designation of Pitch 21 as suitable for street</p>	<p>As above</p>

Appendix 4 -Summary of feedback on designation of new consents streets

	<p>trading. This is a congested area where it is important for cyclists and pedestrians to have space to avoid each other. It is not clear from the map whereabouts the street trader might be located in the yellow area, but the black dot indicated by the arrow would be possibly the worst place - cyclists both entering and leaving parkers piece would have restricted view and would have to divert around the vendor and a possible queue of customers, putting them into conflict with pedestrians.</p> <p>It is possible that a suitable location for street trading could be found in this area, if careful consideration is given to pedestrian and cycle traffic.</p>	
--	--	--